Characterization of mycorrhizal fungi of the genus *Tulasnella* (*Tulasnellaceae, Basidiomycota*) in the genus of orchids *Bletia* from Barranca del Cupatitzio Natural Reserve, Mexico
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Abstract. The goal of this study was the identification of mycorrhizal fungi associated with three terrestrial orchids of the genus *Bletia* Ruiz & Pav.: *B. roezlii* Rehb. f., *B. purpurata* A.Rich., and *B. punctata* Lex., in Barranca del Cupatitzio Natural Reserve—Michoacán, Mexico—. Thirty-nine strains were isolated and morphologically characterized. Nine strains were selected from the molecular analysis. *Bletia punctata*, an endemic species of Mexico, showed the lowest variability in mycorrhizal fungi. Morphological analysis showed that 39 isolated strains belong to the ‘Rhizoctonia-like fungal complex’. According with the tree of Euclidian distances generated by the analysis WARD, all isolates were included into four subgroups, all related to the genus *Tulasnella* J.Schröt—which belongs to the ‘Rhizoctonia-like fungal complex’. Molecular and phylogenetic analysis of the nine selected strains corroborated the results of the morphological study: the sequences obtained were clustered in four subclades related to species of *Tulasnella*. Our results indicate that a single species of *Bletia* from a single locality can be associated with different species of mycorrhizal fungi, at least during the adult stage and that the combination of morphological and molecular analyses is a good tool to identify orchid mycorrhizal fungi.
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INTRODUCTION

The family *Orchidaceae* Juss. comprises approximately 1,000 genera and 27,000 species around the world (Govaerts & al. 2016). However, a large number of these species is included in some risk extinction category because of direct or indirect human activities (Whitman & Ackerman 2015). The species of this family stabilize associations with other organisms during some critical stages of their life cycle, such as pollinators at flowering and symbiotic fungi during germination stages (Rasmussen & Rasmussen 2007; Schatz & al. 2010). Furthermore, it is
necessary to consider that most of the adult orchids have some degree of mycotrophy with mycorrhizal fungi and that the specificity in this kind of association is different between the species of orchids and the partners of fungi, and change according with geographical regions (Rasmussen & Rasmussen 2007; Valadares & al. 2015). In order to implement their reintroduction or programs of sustainable conservation, it is necessary to preserve the essential conditions for the survival of these plants throughout their life cycle.

In American tropical and temperate regions, a substantial number of studies about the diversity of orchid mycorrhizal fungi have been performed for conservation purposes (Valadares & al. 2012, 2015; Otero & al. 2013; Pereira & al. 2014; Nogueira & al. 2014). However, the investigation aimed to mycorrhizal fungi in orchids is uncommon in Mexico (Ortega-Larrocea & Rangel-Villafranco 2007; Ortega-Larrocea 2008; Ortega-Larrocea & González 2008), and little is known about the ecological and phenological aspects, the management for conservation or the reintroduction purposes. Moreover, there are few studies about the identity of the fungal partner or the specificity on endemic orchid species associations in Mexican forests (Ortega-Larrocea & Rangel-Villafranco 2007).

The genus Bletia Ruiz & Pav. includes about 40 species of terrestrial orchids, some of them with a wide distribution range from Mexico to Central America, while others with a more restricted distribution, mostly endemics to Mexico (Sosa 1992). It also includes some species in danger of extinction (Ortega-Larrocea & Rangel-Villafranco 2007), and other that, according with the Official Mexican Norm—NOM-059-ECOL-2010, cf. SEMARNAT (2010)–, could be endangered if adequate actions are not taken. Therefore, this genus can be used to stablish an methodology for conservation, identification, characterization, and cultivation of fungal partners, and posteriori apply this methodology for conservation of others orchids species at some risk category.

A great number of orchid mycorrhizal fungi has been assigned to the ‘Rhizoctonia-like fungal complex’ (Sneh & al. 1991) [Rhizoctonia DC.]. Genera such as Tulasnella J.Schröt.—anamorph, Epulorhiza Moore—, Ceratobasidium D.P.Rogers—anamorph, Ceratorhiza Moore—, Thanatephorus Frank—anamorph, Moniliopsis Moore—, and Serendipita (Overw) P.Roberts, have been related with orchids (Taylor & al. 2003; Ortega-Larrocea & González, 2008). Mycorrhizal fungi are not usually fertile, so their classification is difficult and it is based on non-sexual characters, which allows their classification in morphotypes (Valadares & al. 2012, 2015). Thus to identify the mycorrhizal fungi, it is necessary to complement the morphological studies with molecular analyses (Valadares & al. 2012, 2015). In addition, Cruz & al. (2014) recorded the presence of cryptic species in Tulasnella, one of the most common genus forming mycorrhizas with terrestrial orchids.


In this context, the aims of our work were: (1) to analyze the variability of fungal species in terrestrial orchids

---
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orchids of the genus *Bletia* in Mexico; (2) to know the systematic relationships among them; and (3) to elucidate if this variability is related to a wider distribution of these orchids in order to establish conservation strategies.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

**Sampling**

Three species of *Bletia* were selected: *B. roezlii* Rehb. f. and *B. purpurata* A.Rich. & Galeotti, which have a wide distribution in the temperate zones of Mexico, Guatemala, and Honduras, and *B. punctata* Lex., an endemic orchid from Mexico. The roots of 15–20 plants per species were collected at the flowering season of these species—from July to December—during five years—2010–2015—, allowing their correct identification. Three to five roots per plant were analyzed to obtain a total of 70 roots with fungal colonization from *B. roezlii*, 69 from *B. purpurata*, and 51 from *B. punctata*. The identification of the orchids was performed in the Morelia Orchidarium—Michoacán, Mexico (table 1).

**Isolation of mycorrhizal fungi**

The roots were washed and cross sections were made every 10 mm for long roots—≥ 12 cm—and every 6–8 mm for shorter roots—≤ 12 cm—. To select colonized segments, the samples were mounted on microscope slides after being fixed with Polyvinyl Alcohol Lactoglycerol—PVLG—. The surface of the colonized segments was disinfected immersing the roots in a 10% chlorine dilution, followed by an antibiotic solution—erythromycin 2% and gentamicin 1%—and rinses with sterile distillate water (Ortega-Larrocea 2008).

**In vitro cultures**

Every disinfected root was transferred to a Petri dish with 1 ml of sterile distillate water. Velamen was removed from cortex, under laminar flow hood, with needle, and scalpel and pelotons were separated. Drops containing a solution of 10–15 pelotons were put in Petri dishes with a basic isolation medium for fungi—MAF—and a MAF sugar enriched medium—MAF-A— (Clements 1988; Mitchell 1989); Petri dishes were incubated under dark conditions at 27ºC until the hyphae emerging from pelotons were observed. Finally, the fragments of medium with the apexes of the hyphae were cut and transferred to a Papa-Dextrose Agar medium—PDA—with 50 mg/l of streptomycin and pH 6.8, the strains were maintained at 27ºC until the potential mycorrhizal cultures were obtained—they were morphologically verified by presence of septate hyphae, 90º ramifications with constriction and no sporulation—(Currah & al. 1987; Shan & al., 2002).

**Morphological and statistical analysis**

The strains were incubated on PDA media for 30 days; during this period, the macroscopic and microscopic characterization of the morphology was performed. The cultures obtained from this study are store in the Laboratory of Genetics and Microbiology of the Faculty of Biology at the Universidad Michoacana de San Nicolás de Hidalgo.

The qualitative characteristics, such as surface color of the cultures, was determined after 15 days employing a Munsell Color Chart (Munsell 2000); the brightness, the texture, the odor, the growing shape, and the enzymatic capacity (Pereira & al. 2005) were analyzed. An enzymatic test with the tannic acid medium proposed by Davidson & al. (1938) and Zelmer (1994) was used to prove the presence of polyphenol oxidases. For each PDA isolate strain, three plates were inoculated with 1 mm² of mycelia and incubated 5–15 days at 25ºC; cultures showing the change of color were considered as positives.

Quantitative characteristics such as the growth rates were evaluated by the technique of Currah & al. (1987), and the four-way radial growing increment of colonies was measured every 24–48 h during 2–8 weeks. The average values of growth rates were reported, based on three replicates per strain. The number of nuclei and the morphology of the hypha were determined as follows: media of 1 mm³ were removed from the PDA isolates and translated into Papa-Dextrose Broth medium—PDB—, the culture were maintained in agitation at 25ºC 15–20 days. To determine the number of nuclei, the fungal hyphae from PDB cultures were liquefied 1 min in 150 ml of distilled water, and aliquots of 1 ml were transferred to dialysis membranes mounted in a vacuum—minifall—. The membranes were fixed with 200 µl of a 2% formaldehyde solution for 20 min. The hyphae were stained using 200 µl of 4’,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole—DAPI—5 µg/ml, for 20 min in darkness and washed with distilled water for 2 min. Previous to epifluorescence microscopic observations—200–400 nm UV wavelength—the samples were mounted on slides and fixed with 100 µl of glycerin 50% (Sneh & al. 1991). The sclerotia and the formation of monilioid cells were determined in 30 days-PDA cultures (Shan & al. 2002). Samples were mounted on slides after a trypan blue or acid fuchsin stain; the cell forms besides long and wide measurements using the Leica microscopy Z1000 with integrate camera, and then analyzed with an AMScope v. 3.7 program.

In order to determine significant differences between isolates, quantitative characteristics such as growth rates, monilioid cells, and hyphae dimensions were compared using ANOVA and Tukey tests with the program JMP v. 8. Strains were grouped throughout WARD agglomerative criterion based on Euclidian distances from qualitative and quantitative characteristics, cophenetic correlation.
was estimated in R Language v. 3.3 (R-Development Core Team 2008).

**DNA extraction, amplification, and sequencing**

Since the strains in each group showed similar morphological characteristics and were extracted from the same root or plant, only some random strains from each previous formed group and from each orchid species were selected for this analysis. The DNA was isolated from fresh tissues previously grown in a PDB medium and vacuum pump washed, using a DNeasy Plant Mini Kit—Qiagen—. The amplifications were performed using the universal primers for ITS1 and

### Table 1. Identity of isolates, morphological and molecular identity of samples, GenBank accession number, host, reference sequences of identity and percentage (ID). [Sequenced samples are marked with an asterisk; M.A. Beltrán-Nambo collected the roots from the species of orchids and isolated the 39 strains].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fungal strain No.</th>
<th>Host</th>
<th>Morphological identification</th>
<th>Molecular identity</th>
<th>GenBank accession No.</th>
<th>ID (%)</th>
<th>Reference of the sequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subgroup A</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14A1</td>
<td>B. roezli</td>
<td>Tulasnella sp. 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14D4</td>
<td>B. roezli</td>
<td>Tulasnella sp. 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14D5*</td>
<td>B. roezli</td>
<td>Tulasnella sp. 1</td>
<td>Tulasnella sp.</td>
<td>MG008677</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>EF396272.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19A1</td>
<td>B. purpurata</td>
<td>Tulasnella sp. 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19B1*</td>
<td>B. purpurata</td>
<td>Tulasnella sp. 1</td>
<td>Tulasnella sp.</td>
<td>MG008679</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>EF396272.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19C3</td>
<td>B. purpurata</td>
<td>Tulasnella sp. 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35A1</td>
<td>B. roezli</td>
<td>Tulasnella sp. 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subgroup B</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14F2</td>
<td>B. roezli</td>
<td>Tulasnella sp. 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46A1</td>
<td>B. roezli</td>
<td>Tulasnella sp. 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47C1*</td>
<td>B. punctata</td>
<td>Tulasnella sp. 2</td>
<td>T. calospora</td>
<td>MG008683</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>AY373286.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48A2</td>
<td>B. purpurata</td>
<td>Tulasnella sp. 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48B1</td>
<td>B. purpurata</td>
<td>Tulasnella sp. 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49D1</td>
<td>B. roezli</td>
<td>Tulasnella sp. 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subgroup C</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13A7</td>
<td>B. purpurata</td>
<td>Tulasnella sp. 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13B1</td>
<td>B. purpurata</td>
<td>Tulasnella sp. 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13C1*</td>
<td>B. purpurata</td>
<td>Tulasnella sp. 3</td>
<td>T. calospora</td>
<td>MG008676</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>GU166407.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13C2</td>
<td>B. purpurata</td>
<td>Tulasnella sp. 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16A2</td>
<td>B. purpurata</td>
<td>Tulasnella sp. 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16B4</td>
<td>B. purpurata</td>
<td>Tulasnella sp. 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27C1*</td>
<td>B. roezli</td>
<td>Tulasnella sp. 3</td>
<td>T. calospora</td>
<td>MG008680</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>GU166407.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37A6*</td>
<td>B. punctata</td>
<td>Tulasnella sp. 3</td>
<td>T. calospora</td>
<td>MG008681</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>JQ247558.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37B2</td>
<td>B. punctata</td>
<td>Tulasnella sp. 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44D1</td>
<td>B. punctata</td>
<td>Tulasnella sp. 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subgroup D</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13A3</td>
<td>B. purpurata</td>
<td>Tulasnella sp. 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13B2*</td>
<td>B. purpurata</td>
<td>Tulasnella sp. 4</td>
<td>T. calospora</td>
<td>MG008675</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>HQ889722.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13B3</td>
<td>B. purpurata</td>
<td>Tulasnella sp. 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13N</td>
<td>B. purpurata</td>
<td>Tulasnella sp. 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16AST</td>
<td>B. purpurata</td>
<td>Tulasnella sp. 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16B3</td>
<td>B. purpurata</td>
<td>Tulasnella sp. 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16B5*</td>
<td>B. purpurata</td>
<td>Tulasnella sp. 4</td>
<td>T. calospora</td>
<td>MG008678</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>AB369439.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18B1</td>
<td>B. roezli</td>
<td>Tulasnella sp. 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18B3</td>
<td>B. roezli</td>
<td>Tulasnella sp. 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23C1</td>
<td>B. punctata</td>
<td>Tulasnella sp. 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37A1</td>
<td>B. punctata</td>
<td>Tulasnella sp. 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37B3</td>
<td>B. punctata</td>
<td>Tulasnella sp. 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46AV</td>
<td>B. roezli</td>
<td>Tulasnella sp. 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46D</td>
<td>B. roezli</td>
<td>Tulasnella sp. 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46D1*</td>
<td>B. roezli</td>
<td>Tulasnella sp. 4</td>
<td>T. calospora</td>
<td>MG008682</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>FJ613176.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50AST</td>
<td>B. purpurata</td>
<td>Tulasnella sp. 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ITS4 (White & al. 1990) and following the protocol by Swarts & al. (2010), but with a Qiagen DNA-polymerase. Both forward and reverse sequencing was performed by Macrogen Korea Company.

Alignment of DNA sequence data and phylogenetic analysis

The nine sequences obtained in this study were aligned with the most similar sequences available from GenBank—https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov—in MUSCLE software (Edgar 2004), and manually improved with the program PhyDe® (Müller & al. 2005). Tulasnella danica Hauerslev was selected as the external group because its moderate divergence observed in the alignment and its location in the cladogram reported by Xing & al. (2013).

The program TNT V.1.5 was used for phylogenetic inferences (Goloboff & al. 2008). A Maximum Parsimony analysis was performed through an exhaustive search—Implicit Enumeration—and its statistical support was estimated with 10,000 bootstrap replications. In addition, employing the program MrBayes v. 3.2 (Ronquist & al. 2012), four Markov chains were run in parallel including 10,000,000 generations for each one, and using a GTR + G nucleotide substitution model that was estimated with the program jModeltest (Posada 2008).

RESULTS

A total of 107 isolates were obtained from 190 processed roots of three species of Bletia. Those isolates that showed identical morphological characteristics of colonies and that were extracted from the same plant, were considered as one; eventually, 39 different strains were obtained, of which 19 came from B. purpurata, 13 from B. roezlii, and 7 from B. punctata (table 1). These 39 strains were classified into two groups and four subgroups according with the phenogram generated by the analysis WARD (fig. 1).

Morphological description

The morphological characters of the isolated strains such as hyphae binucleate, branched in right angle and

Fig. 1. Phenogram generated by the agglomerative algorithm WARD, using Euclidean distances derived from qualitative and quantitative characters of 39 isolated strains of mycorrhizal fungi—correlation coefficient 0.95—. [For each strain its corresponding species of orchid is shown; selected fungi for molecular analysis are marked with an asterisk.]
Table 2. Quantitative morphological characterization of the strains obtained from roots of *B. roezlli*, *B. punctata*, and *B. purpurata*. [Different letters indicate significant differences between subgroups—*P* ≤ 0.05, Tukey—; n/d, no available data; P, primary; S, secondary.]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subgroups</th>
<th>Growth average rates (mm)</th>
<th>Monillioid cells (µm)</th>
<th>Size of the hyphae (µm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n = 91, <em>P</em> ≤ 0.05</td>
<td>n = 1074, <em>P</em> ≤ 0.05</td>
<td>n = 198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.6 b</td>
<td>10.7 ± 3 b</td>
<td>10.6 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.5 b</td>
<td>5.3 ± 0.4 c</td>
<td>12.4 ± 5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>2.5 ± 1.4 a</td>
<td>13.9 ± 2 a</td>
<td>12.6 ± 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>2.2 ± 0.6 a</td>
<td>13 ± 2 a</td>
<td>n/d</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Taxonomy**

*Tulasnella* sp. 1. Subgroup A.

Isolates waxy flat to plush, irregular and submerged growth, sometime with slightly cottony appearance and irregular growth through the subsequent cultures, with waxy glabrous sclerotia and scabby appearance (fig. 3a, b). Hyphae hyaline, thin-walled, diameter 3.5–4.2 µm. Growth rate 0.9 mm/day, similar to subgroup B, but significantly different to subgroups C and D included in group 2—*P* ≤ 0.01—, reaching their maximum development in Petri dishes at 40 days or more. Monillioid cells with intermediate sizes—10.7–9.1 µm—compared with *Tulasnella* sp. 3 and *Tulasnella* sp. 4 (*P* ≤ 0.01), 3–5 cells in chain (fig. 2d, table 2).

*Tulasnella* sp. 2. Subgroup B.

Strains with radial growth and mycelial ring formation with cottony aspect on medium, white to pale yellow—8/2 to 8/3 5Y—(fig. 3c). Hyphae hyaline thin-walled, diameter 3.1 µm. Growth rate 0.9 mm/day and maximum development in Petri dish after 40 days (table 2). Diameter of monillioid cells 5.3 × 4.5 µm, smaller than reported to this genus—10–25 × 25–40 µm (Currah & al. 1997)—, 5–10 cells in chain (fig. 2e).

*Tulasnella* sp. 3. Subgroup C.

Colonies with waxy appearance, with sclerotia scattered over colony occasionally conferring a granular appearance (fig. 3f); colony and sclerotia white to pale yellow—8/2...
Characterization of *Bletia* from Barranca del Cupatitzio Natural Reserve


Fig. 2. Some morphological characters of the isolated strains: a, constriction at the branching point and septum near to branching point (strain 14D1); b, coils of hyphae (strain 18B1); c, DAPI stained binucleate hyphae (arrows), epifluorescence microscopy (strain 47C1); d, monilioid cells (strain 19C1, subgroup A); e, monilioid cells (strain 49D1, subgroup B); f, monilioid cells (strain 27C1, subgroup C); g, monilioid cells, acid fuchsin staining (strain 23C1, subgroup D), acid fuchsin staining.
Fig. 3. Development of the different morphotypes obtained on the PDA culture: a, irregular and submerged growth of the subgroup A (strain 19A1); b, waxy sclerotia and scabby appearance (strain 19B1); c, radial growth and mycelial ring formation, showing cottony colonies in subgroup B (strain 48B1); d, Cottony mycelium and strips-like formations in subgroup D (strain 16B5); e, uniform radial growth, colonies with waxy aspect, hyphae submerged in medium and sclerotia with granular appearance in subgroup C (strain 13C1).
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Growth rate 2.5 mm/day, significantly higher than those of *Tulasnella* sp. 1 and *Tulasnella* sp. 2—*P* ≤ 0.01—; maximum development in Petri dish is reached in 15–20 days. Hyphae hyaline with diameter 3.6–4.4 µm. Monillioid cells spherical, with diameter 14 µm, with 3–7 cells in chain, significantly different to all other subgroups—*P* ≤ 0.01—(fig. 2f, table 2). This morphological characters related this species to *T. calospora*.

*Tulasnella* sp. 4. Subgroup D.

Colonies with radial growth and mycelial ring aspect (fig. 3d); submerged sclerotia, brown—7/4 2.5 Y to 8/2 5Y—and without scab formation (fig. 3e). Growth rate 2.2 mm/day growth, values—*P* ≤ 0.01—, significantly higher than those of *Tulasnella* sp. 1 and *Tulasnella* sp. 2; maximum development in Petri dish in 15–20 days. Hyphae dimensions were similar to those of *Tulasnella* sp. 3—3.6–4.4 µm—, but with oval monillioid cells, 3–5 in chain (fig. 2g, table 2). This morphological characters related this species to *T. calospora*.

**DISCUSSION**

Our results corroborate those previously obtained for other genera of orchids such as *Orchis* L., *Platanthera* Rich., and *Tipularia* Nutt. (Deamaley & al. 2012; Pandey & al. 2013), in which the association with different mycorrhizal...
fungi in the same root was more common among photosynthetic orchids than among mycoheterotrophic orchids, because two or more morphotypes from the same root were isolated from *B. roezlii* and *B. purpurata*. This fact may confer to plants an advantage on obtainment of nutrients and a greater capability to survive in the environment (Mageto & al. 2014). Seven morphotypes included in three clades were obtained from the endemic species *B. punctata*, whereas for the other two orchid species included in this study, more than 13 morphotypes were isolated and grouped into the four subclades; this agrees with some observations that pointed out that orchids with a wide distribution range have associations with generalist fungi, conversely to orchids with a restricted distribution, which may have specific relations with fungi (Mageto & al. 2014; McCormick & Jacquemyn 2014).

Morphotypes of clade II displayed relationship with the reference sequences identified as *T. calospora*, *T. deliquescentis*, and *T. bifrons*; the two last ones have been found associated to other adult photosynthetic terrestrial orchids from Canada and North America such as *Tipularia discolor* (Pursh) Nutt. and *Goodyera pubescens* (Willd.) R.Br., mainly in forests of pine-oaks (Rasmussen & Rasmussen 2007), which is comparable to the sampling zones of this work; McCormick & Jacquemyn (2014) pointed out that mycorrhizal associations in a large number of orchid species have corroborated that fungal symbions can exhibit a wide distribution range and they are able to adapt to different habitats.

The molecular and morphological analyses allowed us to identify the selected strains as different clades of *Tulasnella*, a genus included in the *Rhizoctonia*-like fungal complex (Valadares & al. 2012; Nogueira & al. 2014; Suárez & Kottke 2016). *Rhizoctonia* has been described around the world in a wide range of photosynthetic orchids species such as *Epidendrum secundum* Jacq., *Aciandthera lamia* (Luer) Pridegon & M.W.Chase, *Polystachya concreta* (Jacq.) Garay & H.R.Sweet, among others (Zettler & al. 2004; Pereira & al. 2005; Nogueira & al., 2014), and it has also been documented in the Mexican photosynthetic orchids *B. urbana* Dressler and *B. campamulata* Lex. (Ortega-Larrocea & Rangel-Villafranco 2007).

All fungal morphotypes isolated in this work were assigned to the genus *Tulasnella* and grouped in separate clades related to different species, showing that plants of *Bletia* from the same population can be associated with different species of fungi at the same time, at least during the adult stage, which has also been reported for other orchid genera such as *Dendrobium* Sw., *Orchis*, and *Liparis* Rich. (Cruz & al. 2014; Suárez & Kottke 2016). This versatility of fungal partner can contribute to the abundance and distribution of the population of the orchid, as previously reported, and it depends on biotic and abiotic factors, including the availability of suitable mycorrhizal fungi contributing to the health of the plants (Beltrán-Nambo & al. 2012; Jacquemyn & al. 2012; Xing & al. 2013; McCormick & Jacquemyn 2014; Kumar & al. 2017).

According with Pereira & al. (2014), this study corroborated that *T. calospora* is a species complex. The sequences of the clades II and III were grouped with different sequences identified as *T. calospora*, so we cannot assign this name to either of two clades until we confirm in which of them the type is located. Other works reported the presence of cryptic species in *Tulasnella* (Cruz & al. 2014, 2016). In order to elucidate the diversity and variability of the species of mycorrhizal fungi, additional studies using molecular and morphological approaches are required for more mycorrhizal fungi in orchids. In this way, new species could be described and the diversity of mycorrhizal fungi could be used for conservation purposes.
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